What does it mean to have a dynamic safety case?

Tim Kelly

University of York

Copyright Tim Kelly 2016, not to be reproduced without permission

'Living' Safety Case

- A safety case is the argument and evidence supporting the claims about the safety of the system in operation in a defined context
- You can ask "What is the safety case"? at any time
- Safety Case *Reports* are simply the 'snapshots' of the status of the safety case at a given point in time
 - Current status of the arguments
 - Current status of the evidence

copyright Tim Kelly 2016, not to be reproduced without permission

Maintenance

- Typically safety case reports prepared for an acceptance milestone before operation
 permitted
 - Necessarily prediction (modelling, estimation), therefore challenge should be expected
- · Many elements can be challenged during operation:
 - System e.g. configurations
 - Evidence e.g. failure rates not as predicted
 - · Assumptions e.g. operator behaviour
 - Requirements e.g. tougher regulations brought in
- Need:
 - a) to monitor such things
 - b) to assess the continuing 'truth' of the safety case in the light of these challenges

Copyright Tim Kelly 2016, not to be reproduced without permission

Safety Case 'with variables'

- · Some of the challenges are predictable
- Can leave placeholders (and criteria) within the safety case and check at run-time
 - e.g. "Calculated failure rate is X"
- Presents opportunities for dynamic evidence generation, assurance case generation (really instantiation of a wellknown - patterned - structure) and checking
 - fits well with our existing work on Model-Based Assurance Cases

Copyright Tim Kelly 2016, not to be reproduced without permission

Can Modular Safety Cases help?

- Modular safety cases allow the packaging of a monolithic safety case into modules of argument and evidence with well-defined interfaces
 - Safety Case architecture can correspond with System architecture
- Originally intended to cope with relatively 'slow-time' change e.g. to system configuration
 - During system lifetime, but off-line checking of satisfaction of necessary dependencies and guarantees
- No reason why couldn't be run-time checked
- However, biggest problem is in the validation of the necessary properties for system safety (e.g. over all allowed configs.)

Copyright Tim Kelly 2016, not to be reproduced without permission

Back to Patterns

- My original notion of safety case patterns has both:
 - Entity abstraction (placeholder, types etc.)
 - Structural abstraction (e.g. "n arguments of the form are required" or "an argument of the form X or Y is required)
- Safety Case pattern (with choices and multiplicity) can be considered to be a little more like a program

Copyright Tim Kelly 2016, not to be reproduced without permission

Summary

- All safety cases should be dynamic!
- Various interpretations:
 - Maintenance challenge & response
 - · Planned abstraction and run-time criteria
 - 'Plug and Play' Modular Safety Cases
 - Safety Case Pattern as more complicated run-time logic to be checked
- To some extent all have problems of prediction and validation
- What are we ready for? Which form best suits given application domains

Copyright Tim Kelly 2016, not to be reproduced without permission